Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 TOKYO 16200 121338Z

44
ACTION OES-05

INFO OCT-01 EA-09 ISO-00 IO-10 ACDA-10 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-07 FEAE-00 DODE-00 /064 W
---------- 104691
R 120820Z NOV 75
FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO
TO USERDA GERMANTOWN
INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 4801

UNCLAS TOKYO 16200

ERDA FOR J. VANDERRYN

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: TECH, JA
SUBJECT: DRAFT BATTERY AGREEMENT

REF: 1) TOKYO 16145 2) TOKYO 12562

1. AS INDICATED PARA 3, REF 1), JAPANESE BATTERY PROJECT OFFICERS TAKING VERY NARROW PERSPECTIVE OF BATTERY AGREEMENT. THIS POSITION APPARENTLY DUE TO SEVERAL FACTORS: 1) SINCE JAPANESE PROGRAM SOLELY DEVOTED TO ELECTRIC VEHICLE BATTERIES AND THERE IS NO INTEREST IN LOAD LEVELLING, JAPANESE SEE LITTLE TO GRAIN BY US-JAPAN AGREEMENT; 2) JAPANESE PROGRAM SCHEDULED TO TERMINATE IN MARCH, 1977 (PARA 2, REF 2) AND JAPANESE HAVE NEITHER THE TIME NOR THE INCLINATION TO SHIFT DIRECTION OF PROGRAM AT THIS LATE DATE, SO EVEN IF INTERESTING INFORMATION SHOULD SURFACE, THEY WILL MOST LIKELY NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE IT; 3) JAPANESE SUSPECT THAT ANY INFORMATION THEY MIGHT BE REALLY INTERESTED IN, NAMELY THAT ON VEHICLE BATTERIES, WOULD BE TIED UP BY US AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURES; 4) BATTERY PROJECT OFFICE CONSTRAINED BY EXTREMELY BUEAUCRATIC ORIENTATION; LACKS IMAGINATION AND RANGE. SCIREP UNABLE TO CONVINCE ITOH THAT SECTION DRAFT ARRANGEMENT WHICH ITOH WISHED DELETED WERE PERMISSIVE RATHER THAN OBLIGATORY.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 TOKYO 16200 121338Z

WM FOLLOWING IS DRAFT OF AGREEMENT AS MODIFIED BY BATTERY

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

PROJECT OFFICE. QUOTE:

THE U.S. EMERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (ERDA) OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND AGENCY OF INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (AIST) OF JAPAN.

DESIRING TO PROMOTE THE CLOSEST COLLABORATION BETWEEN AMERICAN AND JAPANESE RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, ON THE BASIS OF MUTUAL BENEFIT AND IN FURTHERANCE OF THE AGREEMENT ON COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY RESARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONCLUDED BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF JAPAN ON JULY 15, 1974, AND

RECOGNIZING THAT TECHNOLOGICAL COOPRATION IN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE AREA OF ENERGY STORAAGE BATTERIES WILL BENEFIT THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTRIES AND FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF THE AGREEMENT ON COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT,

HAVE AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

ARTICLE I

A. THE MAJOR OBJECTIVE OF COOPERATION UNDER THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION OF THE BATTERY TECHNOLOGY FOR BOTH ELECTRIC VEHICLE AND UTILITY ENERGY STORAGE APPLICATIONS AND TECHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING THE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. OTHER APPLICATIONS MAY BE INCLUDED AS MUTUALLY AGREED UPON.

B. THE ERDA OF AMERICA AND THE AIST OF JAPAN (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE PARTIES) WILL PURSUE AN INTENSIVE PROGRAM OF COOPERATION FOR RESEARCH ON ENERGY STORAGE BATTERIES. SUCH COOPERATION MAY TAKE THE FORM OF EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING REPORTS, MEETINGS, VISITS, SEMINARS, SYMPOSIA. THE SUBJECTS OF INTEREST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

(1) ASSESSMENT OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STATUS OF ENERGY STORAGE BATTERIES FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLE AND LOAD
UNCLASSIFIED

LEVELING IN EACH COUNTRY,

(2) ESTABLISHMENT AND EXCHANGE OF BATTERY TEST STANDARDS FOR APPLICATIONS CITED IN ARTICLE I-C,

(3) ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF LEAD BATTERY APPLICATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT OF UTILIZATION METHODS,

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

ARTICLE II

THE PARTIES SHALL EACH DESIGNATE A COORDINATOR WHO SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OVERALL SUPERVSION OF THIS ARRANGEMENT.

THE DESIGNATION OR CHANGE OF COORDINATOR SHALL PROMPTLY BE INFORMED TO THE OTHER PARTY.

ARTICLE III

1. THE PARTIES SHALL EXCHANGE INFORMATION ON SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES, POLICIES AND PRACTICES REGARDING UTILIZATION, LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS CONCERNING BATTERY BATTERY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING THE FIELDS IN ARTICLE I, PARA. 1 (1)-(23).

2. THE PARTIES WILL EXCHANGE BY LETTER A LIST OF AVAIL-ABLE INFORMATION AND INFORMATION REQUESTED, IN PRINCIPLE TWICE A YEAR.

3. AFTER RECEIVING THE LETTER, EACH PARTY SHALL PROMPTLY SEND AVAILABLE INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE OTHER PARTY.

4. FURTHER DETAILS CNCERNING THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION IN PARAGRAPH 1 ABOVE WILL BE DETERMINED IN NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE RESPECTIVE COORDINATORS.

ARTICLE IV

1. THE PARTIES SHALL PROMOTE VISITS AND EXCHANGE OF SCIENTISTS, TECHNICIANS AND OTHER EXPERTS (HEAREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS THE SCIENTISTS).

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

2. FURTHER DETAILS CNCERNING THE VISITS AND EXCHANGE OF SCIENTISTS SHALL BE DETERMINED IN NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE RESPECTIVE COORDINATORS.

ARTICLE V

THE PARTIES WILL EACH BEAR THE COSTS OF ITS OWN PARTICIPATION IN COOPERATION ENGAGED IN UNDER THIS ARRANGEMENT UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED.

ARTICLE VI

1. NOTHING IN THIS ARRANGEMENT SHALL BE CONSTRUED TO PREJUDICE OTHER ARRANGEMENTS OR FURTHER ARRANGEMENTS FOR COOP-ERATION BETWEEN THE PARTIES, INCLUDING THE PARTICIPATION OF

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

THIRD PARTIES AS MUTUALLY AGREED.

2. EACH PARTY MAY AT ANY TIME PEROPOSE OR RECOMMEND TO
THE OTHER PARTY AMENDMENT TO THIS ARRANGEMENT.

ARTICLE VII

THIS ARRANGEMENT SHALL ENTER INTO FORCE ON THE LATTER
DATE OF SIGNATURE BY A PARTY AND SHALL REMAIN IN FORCE UNTIL
THE DATE OF THE TERMINATION OF THE US-JAPAN AGREEMENT ON
COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.
HOWEVER, EITHER PARTY MAY GIVE NOTICE TO THE OTHER OF ITS
INTENTION TO TERMINTE THIS ARRANGEMENT, IN WHICH CASE THIS
ARRANGEMENT WILL TERMINATE NOT LESS THAN SIX MONTHS AFTER
SUCH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN. THIS ARRANGEMENT MAY BE EXTENDED
BY MUTUAL AGREEMENT FOR A FURTHER SPECIFIED PERIOD.

DONE, IN DUPLICATE, IN THE ENGLISH AND JAPANESE LANGUAGES
BOTH BEING EQUALLY AUTHENTIC. UNQUOTE.

3. IF US TEAM WISHES TO DISCUSS AGREEMENT, ERDA REPS SHOULD
BE PREPARED TO COUNTER THE POINTS IN 1, ABOVE. INCIDETALLY,
JAPANESE NERVOUS ABOUT DISCUSSING AGREEMENT WITH MON-GOVERNMENT
PERSONS, PARTICULARLY REPRESENTATIVE OF GOULD LABORATORIES.
HODGSON

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENTS, SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT, ELECTRIC VEHICLES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 NOV 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975TOKYO16200
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750393-0842
From: TOKYO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751152/aaaabuyy.tel
Line Count: 193
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 TOKYO 16145, 75 TOKYO 12562
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02 DEC 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <02 DEC 2003 by MaustMC>; APPROVED <29 JAN 2004 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: DRAFT BATTERY AGREEMENT
TAGS: TECH, JA, US, ERDA, AIST
To: USERDA
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006